PTO/SB/21 (09-04) Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031

Ander the Paperway Reduction Act of 199	35 no nersc	U.S. F	Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMER llection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control numb	₹CE	
BANFAR	<u> </u>	Application Number	10/671,078.	Dei	
TRANSMITTAL FORM		Filing Date	9/25/2003		
		First Named Inventor	Robert Parlee		
		Art Unit	3611		
be used for all correspondence after initia	al filing)	Examiner Name	Lerner, Avraham H		
al Number of Pages in This Submission	5	Attorney Docket Number	parlee01.005	フ	
		21 0011250			

(to be used for all corresponde	Lerner, Avraham H						
Total Number of Pages in This Submission 5		Attorney Docket Number	parlee0	parlee01.005			
ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)							
Fee Transmittal Form Fee Attached Amendment/Reply After Final Affidavits/decla Extension of Time Req Express Abandonment Information Disclosure Certified Copy of Priori Document(s) Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Reply to Missin under 37 CFR	aration(s) quest t Request Statement Remark	prawing(s) icensing-related Papers retition retition to Convert to a provisional Application rower of Attorney, Revocation change of Correspondence reminal Disclaimer request for Refund c.D., Number of CD(s) Landscape Table on C	Address	Appea of Appea (Appea (Appea) Propric Status			
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT							
Firm Name Gordon E. Nelson, Patent Attorney PC							
Signature Galan							
Printed name Gordon E. Nelson							
Date 10/14/200	04		Reg. No.	30,093			
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING							
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below:							
Signature Lauler E Nelon							
Typed or printed name Go	ordon E. Nelson #30	son #30,093			10/14/2004		

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.



PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE (parlee01.005)

Applicant:

Robert Parlee

Paper No.:

Application No:

10/671,078

Group Art Unit: 3611

Filed:

9/25/03

Examiner: Lerner, Avraham H.

Title: Techniques for making carbon fiber bicycle frames

Commissioner for Patents Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Response to a restriction requirement under 35 U.S.C. 121

Summary of the prosecution

In an Office action mailed 9/17/2004, Examiner found three inventions in the application:

- group I, consisting of claims 1-6 and 11-16,
- group II, consisting of claims 7-10, and
- group III, consisting of claims 17-23.

Examiner further required with regard to group II and III that restriction further be made to Species A, set forth in claims 8 and 18 or Species B, set forth in claims 9 and 19.

Response

Election of claims

Applicants are electing group III with traverse and within group III are electing Species B without traverse pending a finding that generic claim 18 is allowable.

Traversal of the restriction requirement as regards group II and group III

The basis of Examiner's requirement of a restriction between group II and group III is the following:

In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process, for example, one not including curing the lug in a mold, specifically because a product-by-process claim as in claim 7 is not limited to the manipulations of the recited steps, only the structure implied by those steps. (Restriction Requirement, p. 2, bottom)

The claims of group II are addressed to a bicycle frame comprising a plurality of carbon fiber tubes joined at joints and lugs at the joints that are made by a particular method. The issue, as set forth at MPEP 806.05(f) is whether "the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process". The language that Examiner uses in dealing with the issue, "a product-by-process claim as in claim 7 is not limited to the manipulations of the recited steps, only the structure implied by those steps" comes from MPEP 2113, which deals with patentability of product-by-process claims. As the first example in MPEP 2113 makes clear, when the product made by the process is indistinguishable from the same product made by another process, the process steps should not be considered when assessing the patentability of the claimed product over the product made by the other process. As the second example makes clear, where "the manufacturing process steps would be expected to impart distinctive structural characteristics to the final product", the process steps should be considered when assessing the patentability of the product-by-process claims over the prior art.

In the instant case, the second example applies. As is clear from the discussion of priorart techniques for making lugs at page 2, lines 8-13 of the above patent application and the discussion of the lug-making techniques used with Applicant's carbon-fiber frames, lugs made according to Applicant's methods do "impart distinctive structural characteristics to the final product". Among the characteristics are the following:

- 1. Applicant's lugs do not contain the voids that occurred using prior-art techniques (p.6, lines 12-14);
- 2. Applicant's lugs are tapered down to the tube, which improves the lug's appearance and improves the distribution of stress along the tubes jointed by the lugs (p. 6, lines 26-30); and
- 3. when the expandable foam is used, the lug has a smoother surface (p. 7, lines1-5).

The characteristic that Applicant's lugs do not contain voids is of course a necessary result of the fact that, as set forth in independent claim 7, "the cure include[es] an expansion of an element enclosed by the mold". Consequently, "the product as claimed" cannot "be made by another and materially different process", the method steps must therefore taken into account in determining patentability of claims 7-10, and Examiner's restriction requirement is without basis. This result of course makes eminently good sense, since substantially the same search will be required to determine the patentability of claims 7-10 and 17-23.

Conclusion

Applicant has responded to Examiner's restriction requirement by electing group III with a traversal of the restriction between groups II and II and by further electing species B pending a finding that the generic claim is patentable. Applicant has further demonstrated in his traversal that the restriction between groups II and III is without basis. Applicant has thereby been completely responsive to the restriction requirement and respectfully requests that Examiner examine claims 7-10 and 17-23 No fees are believed to be required by way of this response. Should any be, please charge them to deposit account number 501315.

Respectfully submitted,

Attorney of record, Gordon E. Nelson

57 Central St., P.O. Box 782

Rowley, MA, 01969,

Registration number 30,093

Voice: (978) 948-7632 Fax: (866)-723-0359

October 14, 2004

Date

Certificate of Mailing

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:



Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

on	October 14, 2004
	(Date)
Go	rdon E. Nelson, #30,093

(Signature)